

# GELFAND-KIRILLOV DIMENSION FOR QUANTIZED WEYL ALGEBRAS

NOBUYUKI FUKUDA

ABSTRACT. We obtain an analogue of Bernstein's inequality for quantized Weyl algebras.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field. For an  $n$ -tuple  $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in (k^\times)^n$  and  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  over  $k$  such that  $\lambda_{ii} = 1$  and  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}^{-1}$  for all  $i, j$ , the  $n$ -th *quantized Weyl algebra*  $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$  is the  $k$ -algebra generated by the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$  with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.1) \quad & x_i x_j = q_i \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \\
 & y_i y_j = \lambda_{ij} y_j y_i, \\
 & x_i y_j = \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i, \\
 & y_i x_j = q_i^{-1} \lambda_{ji} x_j y_i, \\
 & x_j y_j - q_j y_j x_j = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} (q_l - 1) y_l x_l, \\
 & (x_1 y_1 - q_1 y_1 x_1 = 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . See [AD, 3.4].

This algebra  $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ , appeared in the work of Maltsiniotis on noncommutative differential calculus [Ma], is regarded as a  $q$ -analogue of the Weyl algebra  $A_n$ .

*Bernstein's inequality* says that, if  $M$  is a nonzero module over the Weyl algebra  $A_n$ , then the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension  $\text{GKdim}(M) \geq n$ . The purpose of this note is to obtain an analogue of this result for quantized Weyl algebra  $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ . To this end, a simple localization of  $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$  studied in [J] plays an important role.

Throughout this note, let  $\bar{q}, \Lambda$  be as above, and suppose that no  $q_i$  is a root of unity.

For ring theoretical notions including localizations, filtrations and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, we refer to [McR].

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16P90; Secondary 17B37.

*Key words and phrases*. Quantized Weyl algebras, Gelfand-kirillov dimension, Bernstein's inequality.

## 2. Preliminaries

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $z_i = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^i (q_l - 1)y_l x_l$ . By [J, 2.8] these elements satisfy the following relations:

$$(2.1) \quad z_j y_i = \begin{cases} y_i z_j & \text{if } j < i, \\ q_i y_i z_j & \text{if } j \geq i, \end{cases} \quad z_j x_i = \begin{cases} x_i z_j & \text{if } j < i, \\ q_i^{-1} x_i z_j & \text{if } j \geq i, \end{cases}$$

$$z_i z_j = z_j z_i.$$

Thus, for each  $i$ , the set  $\mathcal{Z}_i = \{z_i^s\}_{s \geq 0}$  is an Ore set in  $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ , and the set  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_1 \cdots \mathcal{Z}_n$  is too. We denote by  $B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$  the localization of  $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$  at  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Proposition 2.2 [J, Thm. 3.2].** *Suppose that no  $q_i$  is a root of unity. Then  $B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$  is simple. In particular  $B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$  has no nonzero finite-dimensional module.*

Let us consider standard filtrations for  $A = A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$  and  $B = B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ .

Put  $V = k + kx_1 + \cdots + kx_n + ky_1 + \cdots + ky_n$ . This is a (finite-dimensional) generating subspace of  $A$ , that is,  $A = \sum_{l \geq 0} V^l$ , where  $V^0 = k$ . Then  $A$  has the filtration  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_s(A) = \sum_{l=0}^s V^l.$$

For the localization  $B$  of  $A$ , the subspace  $W = kx_1 + kx_2 z_1^{-1} + \cdots + kx_n z_{n-1}^{-1} + ky_1 + \cdots + ky_n + kz_1 + \cdots + kz_n + kz_1^{-1} \cdots + kz_n^{-1}$  is a generating subspace. Denote by  $\Gamma(B)$  the filtration of  $B$  associated with the generating subspace  $W$ . Thus

$$\Gamma_s(B) = \sum_{l=0}^s W^l.$$

A  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is called *semi-commutative* if  $R$  is generated as a  $k$ -algebra generated by elements  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  such that  $r_i r_j = \mu_{ij} r_j r_i$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , where  $\mu_{ij} \in k^\times$  ([Mc, 3.7]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *The graded algebra  $gr_\Gamma B$  of  $B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$  associated with the filtration  $\Gamma(B)$  is semi-commutative.*

*Proof.* This is clear from the relations (1.1), (2.1) and the observation that  $x_i z_{i-1}^{-1} y_i - q_i y_i x_i z_{i-1}^{-1} = 1$  for each  $i$ .  $\square$

By the lemma we can apply [Mc, Thm.3.8] to  $B$ , so the following proposition is obtained. Also see [McP, Sect. 5].

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let notations be as above.*

(1) *For any finitely generated  $B$ -module  $M$ , the Gelfand Kirillov dimension  $\text{GKdim}_B(M)$  is nonnegative integer.*

(2) *For any nonzero finitely generated  $B$ -module  $M$ , there exists a nonnegative integer  $e_B(M) \geq 1$  such that*

$$e_B(M) = e_B(L) + e_B(N)$$

for any exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated  $B$ -modules with  $\text{GKdim}_B(L) = \text{GKdim}_B(M) = \text{GKdim}_B(N)$ .

(3) For a  $B$ -module with finite length, the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_B(M)$  of  $M$  is algebraic over  $k$ .

$e_B(M)$  is called the multiplicity of  $M$ .

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that no  $q_i$  is a root of unity. Let  $M$  be a nonzero  $B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ -module. Then*

$$n \leq \text{GKdim}_{B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}}(M) \leq 2n.$$

*Proof.* We modify the proof of [McR, Prop.5.5] to prove the theorem.

Write  $B_n = B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ . Let  $M$  be a nonzero  $B$ -module. Since  $\text{GKdim}(B) = 2n$  by [GL, Prop.3.4], it follows that  $\text{GKdim}_B(M) \leq 2n$ .

We will show the inequality  $n \leq \text{GKdim}_B(M)$  by induction on  $n$ . We can assume that  $M$  is finitely generated. If  $n = 1$ , it is clear from Proposition 2.4 (1) and Proposition 2.2. Assume that the inequality holds for  $n - 1$ . Let  $\bar{q}' = (q_1, \dots, q_{n-1})$ ,  $\Lambda'$  be the subarray  $(\lambda_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$  of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $B_{n-1} = B_{n-1}^{\bar{q}', \Lambda'}$  can be regarded as a subalgebra of  $B_n$ . If  $\text{GKdim}_{B_n}(M) < n$ , then  $\text{GKdim}_{B_n}(M) \leq n - 1$ . We claim that  $M$  has finite length as a  $B_{n-1}$ -module. It is sufficient to show that any finitely generated  $B_{n-1}$ -submodule of  $M$  has finite length  $\leq e_{B_n}(M)$ . Let  $N$  be a finitely generated nonzero  $B_{n-1}$ -submodule of  $M$ . By the inductive hypothesis, one sees that  $n - 1 \leq \text{GKdim}_{B_{n-1}}(N) \leq \text{GKdim}_{B_{n-1}}(M) \leq \text{GKdim}_{B_n}(M) = n - 1$ , so that  $\text{GKdim}_{B_{n-1}}(N) = \text{GKdim}_{B_n}(M) = n - 1$ . Then it follows from [McP, Prop.5.7] that  $e_{B_{n-1}}(N) \leq e_{B_n}(M)$ . Using Proposition 2.4(2), one sees that  $N$  has finite length  $\leq e_{B_{n-1}}(N) \leq e_{B_n}(M)$ .

Now, by Proposition 2.4 (3),  $\text{End}_{B_{n-1}}(M)$  is algebraic over  $k$ . From the relations (2.1), left action by  $z_n z_{n-1}^{-1}$  on  $M$  is a left  $B_{n-1}$ -module endomorphism of  $M$ . Moreover, since  $M$  is faithful as a  $B$ -module, the  $k$ -algebra generated by  $z_n z_{n-1}^{-1}$  can be regarded as a subalgebra of  $\text{End}_{B_{n-1}}(M)$ . However it is easy to check that  $z_n z_{n-1}^{-1}$  is algebraic independent over  $k$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose that no  $q_i$  is a root of unity. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ -module. If  $M$  is not  $\mathcal{Z}$ -torsion, then*

$$n \leq \text{GKdim}_{A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}}(M) \leq 2n.$$

*Proof.* Put  $A = A_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ ,  $B = B_n^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ . First, we claim that  $\text{GKdim}_A(M) = \text{GKdim}_B(B \otimes_A M)$  for any  $\mathcal{Z}$ -torsionfree finitely generated nonzero  $A$ -module  $M$ . We modify the proof of [GL, Lemma 3.3] to prove the claim. Let  $V$  be the generating subspace of  $A$  described before. It is obvious that  $W = V + kz_1^{-1}$  is a generating subspace of the localization  $\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}A$  of  $A$  at  $\mathcal{Z}_1$ . There exists nonnegative integer  $t$  such that  $W^m \subset z_1^{-m}V^{mt}$  for each  $m$ . Let  $M_0$  be a finite-dimensional generating subspace of the  $A$ -module  $M$ . Then  $W^m M_0 \subset z_1^{-m}V^{mt} M_0$ , so that  $\dim_k W^m M_0 \leq \dim_k V^{mt} M_0$ . Since  $M$  is  $\mathcal{Z}_1$ -torsionfree, we can regard  $M$  as an  $A$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}M = \mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}A \otimes_A M$  via the map  $M \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}M$ ,  $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$ . In particular  $M_0$  is a generating subspace

of the  $\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}A$ -module  $\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}M$ . Thus  $\text{GKdim}_{\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}A}(\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}M) \leq \text{GKdim}_A(M)$ . Clearly  $\text{GKdim}_{\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}A}(\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}M) \geq \text{GKdim}_A(M)$ . Hence  $\text{GKdim}_{\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}A}(\mathcal{Z}_1^{-1}M) = \text{GKdim}_A(M)$ . By continuing similar argument, we can prove the claim.

Let  $M$  be a non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -torsion finitely generated  $A$ -module. Denote by  $T(M)$  the largest  $\mathcal{Z}$ -torsion submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M/T(M)$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -torsionfree nonzero module, it holds that

$$\text{GKdim}_A(M/T(M)) = \text{GKdim}_{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}A}(\mathcal{Z}^{-1}(M/T(M))) \geq n$$

by Theorem 3.1. It follows from [McR, 8.3.2] that  $\text{GKdim}_A(M/T(M)) \leq \text{GKdim}_A(M)$ , which implies that  $n \leq \text{GKdim}_A(M)$ .

The upper bound is clear since  $\text{GKdim}(A) = 2n$  (see [GL, Prop.3.4]).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** The corollary fails without the condition on a  $A_n^{\bar{q},\Lambda}$ -module  $M$ . In fact, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -torsion finitely generated  $A_n^{\bar{q},\Lambda}$ -module  $M$  with  $\text{GKdim}_{A_n^{\bar{q},\Lambda}}(M) = i$ . Put  $A = A_n^{\bar{q},\Lambda}$ . Let  $L = Ay_{i+1} + \cdots + Ay_n + Ax_1 + \cdots + Ax_n$ . The  $A$ -module  $M = A/L$  has the filtration  $\mathcal{B}'(M)$  induced by the filtration  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  of  $A$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}'_s(M) = (\mathcal{B}_s(A) + L)/L$  is isomorphic as a vector space to

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_i \leq s} ky_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

Hence  $\dim_k \mathcal{B}'_s(M) = \binom{i+s}{i}$ . This implies that  $\text{GKdim}_A(M) = i$ .

Another Bernstein's inequality for quantized Weyl algebras has been considered by Demidov in [D].

## References

- [AD] J. Alev and F. Dumas, Sur le corps des fractions de certaines algèbres quantique, *J. Algebra* **170** (1994) 229–265.
- [D] E.E. Demidov, Modules over a Weyl quantum algebra, *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **48** (1993) 49–51.
- [GL] K.R. Goodearl and T.H. Lenagan, Catenarity in quantum algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **111** (1996) 123–142.
- [J] D.A. Jordan, A simple localization of quantized Weyl algebra, *J. Algebra* **174** (1995) 267–281.
- [Ma] G. Maltsiniotis, Groupes quantique et structures différentielles, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math.* **311** (1990) 831–834.
- [Mc] J.C. McConnell, Quantum groups, filtered rings and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, in "Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1448," pp. 139–147, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [McP] J.C. McConnell and J.J. Pettit, Crossed Products and multiplicative analogues of Weyl algebras, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **38** (1988) 47–55.
- [McR] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, "Noncommutative Noetherian Rings," Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.